

Challenging today. Reinventing tomorrow.

Machine Learning to Optimize WTP Operations

Enoch Nicholson, PE

PNWS-AWWA October 28th, 2021



Motivation

Motivation

- There is no simple coagulation
 "equation"
- Coagulation decisions are made based on experience, intuition, and trial and error
- Efficiency improves water quality, enhances efficiency, and lowers water rates



Why Machine Learning

- Innovative solution that is widely used for many applications
- Machines Can:
 - "Learn" and provides answers based on the historical data, not rules/equations
 - Quickly analyze vast amounts of data
 - Use algorithms to understand complex relations between the various parameters



Machine Learning Applications in Drinking Water Treatment

New Insights

Discover hidden value in online or grab sample data

<u>Anomalies</u>

Contaminant Warning, Instrument Malfunction, or Maintenance Monitoring



Calibration

Perform sensitivity analysis to any process changes and enhance digital twins



Predict water quality based on historical information

Optimization

Identify chemical and energy savings, improve treatment performance

Woodland-Davis Water Treatment Plant



- 30 MGD WTP
- Commissioned in 2016
- Operated by Jacobs (DBO)
- Located in Davis, California

- Treats Sacramento River water
- Sand Ballasted Clarification
- Ferric Chloride and Polymer used for coagulation

Project Goal

1. Develop a machine learning model to optimize chemical usage

2. Create a dashboard display recommended changes in real time

recommended changes in real time



Poll Question #1

- Where is the Design Build Operate Water Treatment Plant featured in this Machine Learning Project?
 - Bothell, Washington
 - Forest Grove, Oregon
 - Woodland, California

Implementation

Implementation

STEP 1



STEP 2



STEP 3





Collect and Clean Data

Develop Predictive Optimization Model

Create Web Dashboard

Collect and Clean Data

Predictive Model Development



Data Selection



Modeling

Predictive Model Development



Trained Model Prediction



Optimization Equation





Optimization Algorithm







0 5/21/2020

5/22/2020

5/23/2020

5/24/2020

5/25/2020

----Actual FeCl3 dose,mg/l ----Actual FeCl3 dose, mg/L

5/27/2020

5/28/2020

5/29/2020

5/30/2020

5/31/2020

5/26/2020

Poll Question #2

- What parameters were used for coagulant optimization?
 - Chemical cost
 - Settled water turbidity
 - Minimize frequency of changes
 - All of the above

Data Transfer and Dashboard



Dashboard

=	Woodland-Davis Dosimeter		Dashboard		
			8/19/2021 - 9/2/2021		
Model Score Coagulant Do Actual 89 % 20 mg/L		se Recommended 16.5 mg/L	Last Update 3 ଞ୍ଲି SEPTEMBER	Settled TurbidityActualPredicted1.5 NTU1.6 NTU	
Ferric Dose			Coagulant Cost Current \$70/MG Optimized \$58/MG Savings/month \$3,600	Settled Water	Turbidity

Aspiration

Next Steps Include Identify and Optimize w/ New total cost of Advanced predict coagulant WQ data events treatment

Acknowledgements

Solutions Manager/S&T: Kim Ervin Intelligent Systems/S&T: Tim Hill Water Treatment Lead: Enoch Nicholson Lead Machine Learning Engineer: Louisa Tran Machine Learning Engineer: Kat Gomozova WTP On Site Coordinator: Alyssa "Sunshine" Smith



Questions?

Enoch.Nicholson@Jacobs.com Kim.Ervin@Jacobs.com



Challenging today. Reinventing tomorrow.

