DEMONSTRATING & COMMUNICATING THE ABSENCE OF LEAD SERVICE LINES

Joel Cary, TVWD



Emily Palmer, BC



May 3, 2024

TVWD OVERVIEW

- TVWD is in Washington County, Oregon HILLSBORO
- Serve ~230,000 customers (directly and indirectly)
- 62,000 service connections
- New source (Willamette River) comes online in 2026







KEY PROJECT DRIVERS

- LCRR Service line inventory due
 October 2024
- TVWD's vision: "Our water sustains thriving communities - every day for everyone"
- Improving TVWD brand awareness in advance of the switch to the Willamette River in 2026
- 2020 service line inspection pilot work





COMMUNICATION CHALLENGES

- Like most PNW utilities, lead service lines were never used (copper is TVWD's standard material)
- Using the term "Lead Service Lines" (LSLs) in communications implies otherwise
- Access to private side GIS analysis showed ~25% of sites were outside right-ofway



7AM PREVIEW OF INVENTORY OUTCOMES

Nearly 390 service lines were excavated on the public and private side, no lead services were discovered

- Consistent with TVWD's assumptions, all public side services were copper
- Private side services were plastic, copper, or galvanized



PRESENTATION OVERVIEW







Inventory Approach

Communications Strategy and Toolkit **Inventory Implementation**



Inventory Approach



DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE: TVWD'S APPROACH

- Pilot effort
 informed resource
 needs (e.g.,
 staffing, hydrovac,
 technology)
- Allowed inventory methods still unclear

- Michigan statistical method reviewed, OHA engagement
- Developed TVWD evaluation matrix
- Communications plan needed; hired B&C

2022

- Inventory developed parallel to OHA statistical guidance
- Communications plan finalized
- RFP for contract inspections
- Outreach launched (e.g., website, targeted mailers)

2023

- Began physical inventory work with contractor
- Ongoing communications with customers
- Completed inventory; data submission pending





2021-2022: FACTS, UNCERTAINTY, AND LOTS OF QUESTIONS... WHAT A MESS!

Facts – what we knew

- 62,000 service connections
- No service installation cards
- Archived 70s design standards
- Lead services never used
- Translation lots of "unknowns" under the

Uncertainty and questions

- What would Oregon allow?
- Would all 62,000 connections need inspection?
- How would the public react?
- Resources are limited this work would impact overall operations



2022-2023 – WERE WE ON THE RIGHT TRACK?



- ✓ TVWD inventory matched OHA's guidance
- Records research first, then random selection to achieve 95% statistical confidence
- If a lead service found, consult with OHA
 Inventory can be performed using contract services
- Single point inspection for each portion (i.e., public and private side)



2023 – KEY POINTS OF THE INVENTORY PROCESS



Hierarchy of the process using our GIS data (what's in):

- 1. Owned by = TVWD
- 2. Status = Active
- 3. Service diameter = less than 2-inches
- 4. Tax lots date + meter sales date = 1985 and older
- 5. Design standards = 1970s era documents

Data sets not used:

- Year main installed old services can be attached to new mains
- Utility billing data unreliable





METHOD OUTCOMES

26,788 services classified as "unknown" under OHA methodology

• Minimum of 378 randomly selected sites for inspection



Example curve, ASDWA, 2020





ADDITIONAL ANALYSES

How did the randomly selected inventory sites compare to TVWD's most financially impacted communities?

- Not part of statistical method, but a question worth asking considering the proposed LCRI's focus on disadvantaged communities
- Used most recent Census data
- Results largely aligned with Census data



INITIAL SITE ASSESSMENT – DESKTOP EVAL

| Count AddressID UniqueI | D MeterClassCode YEARBUIL | T Meter Location Notes | (1) Meter is Visible on Street View | Google Streetview Image Year | (2) Utilities in Dig Area | (3) Sidewalk or Driveway or Concrete/Asphalt | Concrete/ Asphalt Type | (4) Large Physical Obstruction | Obstruction Type | (5) Traffic Control Needed | (6) Meter Within Approx. 5ft of tree | (7) Landscaping to Restore? | Notes/Other Observations | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 ROE Needed | Score |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|-----|-----------------|-------|
| 1 27010 SE22859 | Residential | 1920 EOH | | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 no | 1.00 |
| 2 4938 SE34814 | Residential | 1972 | | | Yes | No | | No | | No | No | Yes | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 no | 0.33 |
| 3 5512 SE36606 | Residential | 1974 | | | No | Yes | | Yes | | No | No | Yes | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 no | 0.48 |
| 4 20337 SE13451 | Residential | 1980 | 0 Yes | 2014 | 4 Yes | Yes | Sidewalk Panels | No | Other | No | No | No | Power Transformer Directly Behind MTR | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 yes | 0.52 |
| 5 1055 SE1270 | Residential | 1960 | Yes | 2022 | 2 Yes | Yes | Road Surface | No | | No | No | Yes | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 no | 0.57 |
| 6 29203 SE25434 | Residential | 1963 MB | Yes | 2010 | 6 No | Yes | Road Surface | No | | No | No | Yes | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 no | 0.29 |
| 7 17004 SE9384 | Residential | 1970 S OF DRIVE | Yes | 2014 | 4 No | Yes | Road Surface | No | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.24 |
| 8 21783 SE15434 | Residential | 1985 | Yes | 2022 | 2 Yes | Yes | Multiple Types | Yes | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 6401 SE46075 | Residential | 1974 | Yes | 2019 | 9 Yes | Yes | Multiple Types | No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 15784 SE7463 | Residential | 1972 SOUTH OF LOT | Yes | 2014 | 4 Yes | Yes | Road Surface | Yes | Ot | | | | | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | |
| 11 18375 SE11086 | Residential | 1972 S OF LOT | Yes | 2014 | 4 Yes | Yes | Multiple Types | Yes | -9- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 8923 SE61276 | Residential | 1955 | Yes | 2022 | 2 Yes | Yes | Road Surface | No | | | | | | | | - | | | 22 | |
| 13 4316 SE32909 | Residential | 1972 | Yes | 2010 | 6 Yes | Yes | Multiple Types | No | - | | | K | | | | M | | | N | |
| 14 26697 SE22368 | Residential | 1962 FD BHND HEDGE ON 90TH | Yes | 2019 | 9 No | No | | No | - | (Note:) | | (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) (1997) | | | _ | 10.0 | _ | | | / |
| 15 10834 SE1851 | Residential | 1960 | No | 202 | 2 | | | | | | (2) 6:4 | م بالمسيدة | | | | | | | | |
| 16 13801 SE5695 | Residential | 1920 ON KEYLOCK EOL | Yes | 200. | / Yes | Yes | Multiple Types | Yes | (2) | | (5) 510 | ewalk or | | 1 1 4 1 | | | | | 1 | |
| 17 1870 SE10856 | Residential | 1976 | Yes | 2019 | 9 Yes | Yes | Sidewalk Panels | No | - (2) U | tilities | 0.00 | | Concrete/ Asphal | t (4) | Larg | e Phy | vsica | 1 C | Jbstru | ction |
| 18 261 SE19378 | Residential | 1952 30° LET OF MAILBOX UNDER HEDGE | : No | 2022 | 2 Yes | Yes | Road Surface | Yes | | | Drive | way or | | | | | • | | | |
| 19 16737 SE9092 | Residential | 1978 OPPOSITE FRONT WINDOW | No | 2014 | 4 Yes | NO | | Yes | in Di | a Aroa | | ina, ei | Type | | Ohet | ructi | on | | Typ | ~ |
| 20 20107 SE12557 | Residential | 1975 15'N OF DRIVE N METER | NO | | | | a:1 11 a 1 | | | gnica | Comments | - / A | и туре | | Obsi | ucu | | | i yp | C |
| 21 28029 SE24127 | Residential | 1978 | 0 Yes | 2019 | 9 Yes | Yes | Sidewalk Panels | NO | | | Concret | e/Aspna | π | | | | | | | |
| 22 3189 SE26122 | Residential | 1981 W OF PROP | NO | 201 | 4 X | V | Ciderrally Develo | N - | | | 02075 | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 14163 SE5604 | Residential | 1975 | Yes | 2014 | 4 Yes | res | Sidewalk Panels | NO | Vec | | Vec | | | Vec | 50 | | | | | |
| 24 29815 5E26109 | Residential | 1983 WEDGE OF HOUSE | NO | 202 | 2 V | N - | | | 103 | | 103 | | | 103 | 55 | | | | | |
| 25 033 5E45203 | Residential | 1965 | Yes | 202 | Z Yes | NO | | NO | | | A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OF | | | B 1 (333) | | | | | | |
| 20 13442 SE5277 | Residential | 1978 | 0 Yes | 201. | 1 Yes | NO | Daad Surface | No | Yes | | NO | | | NO | | | | | | |
| 2/ 20/1 3222033 | Residential | 1955 F W OF DR | No | 2014 | 4 res | res | Road Surface | INO | - | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| 20 23271 3210007 | Residential | 1955 5 W OF DK | Vor | 201/ | 4 Vor | Vor | Sidowalk Danala | No | No | | Vec | | | Vec | 1 | | | | | |
| 30 5245 525167 | Residential | 1972 | Ves | 2014 | 7 Ves | No | Sidewalk Failels | 110 | NU | | 103 | | | 103 | <u>9</u> 3 | | | | | |
| 31 163/6 558550 | Residential | 1969 WEST OF PROPERTY LINE | Vec | 201 | 1 Vas | No | | No | | | | | CI II DI | | | | | 011 | | |
| 32 12942 SE4739 | Residential | 1975 OPP PORCH LIGHT | Ves | 2014 | 4 Ves | No | | No | Yes | | Yes | | Sidewalk Panels | NO | | | | Uth | ier | |
| 33 10/18 SE1708 | Residential | 1956 | Ver | 201 | 2 Ves | No | | No | | | - Contraction - Contraction | | | | | | | | 10000000 | |
| 34 28469 SE24615 | Residential | 1950 | Ves | 2016 | 6 Ves | Ves | Sidewalk Panels | No | Voc | | Voc | | Road Surface | No | | | | | | |
| 35 9592 SE441 | Residential | 1961 | 0 Yes | 201 | 2 Yes | No | Sidewalk runeis | No | ICS | | 163 | | Noau Juliace | NU | | | | | | |
| 36 18329 SE11024 | Residential | 1972 N EDGE OF FRT DOOR | Ves | 202 | 2 Ves | No | | No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 10325 5111024 | Residentia | | 103 | 2021 | 2 103 | 110 | | | No | | Yes | | Road Surface | No | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | No | | Yes | | Road Surface | No | | | | | | |
| | | | 0.000 | + + | | lata | | • | Yes | | Yes | | Multiple Types | Yes | | | | Oth | ner | |
| • (J]] | | IE ASSES | SID | епт | () | ее | (T T) () | e | LANS AND ADD | | 0 08070 | | | 1000 A | | | | | serveran Talah | |

potential difficulty of inspection

- Yes Multiple Types No Yes Yes Road Surface Yes Other
- Right-of-entry (ROE) analysis also performed using GIS



| | | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
|---|-------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|----|
| | | Utility | Concrete/F | Obstructio | Traffic Con | Trees/Drip | Landscaping | |
| 6 | Utility | 234 | 33 | 8 | 19 | 37 | 7 | |
| 5 | Concrete/F | 33 | 46 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | |
| 4 | Obstructio | | | 9 | 2 | 4 | 3 | |
| 3 | Traffic Con | | | | 20 | 5 | 2 | |
| 2 | Trees/Drip | | | | | 60 | 3 | |
| 1 | Landscapir | | | | | | 13 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Utility | Concrete/F | Obstructio | Traffic Con | Trees/Drip | Landscaping | |
| | Utility | 57% | 8% | 2% | 5% | 9% | 2% | 1. |
| | Concrete/F | | 11% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% | |
| | Obstructio | | | 2% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 1 |
| | Traffic Con | | | | 5% | 1% | 0% | 1. |
| | Trees/Drip | | | | | 15% | 1% | |
| | Landscapir | | | | | | 3% | 0. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 0. |
| | | | | | | | | |

 Quantitative assessment of qualitative site characteristics to better understand impediments (singular and paired)

INITIAL SITE ASSESSMENT RANKING





Communications Strategy and Toolkit



APPROACH OVERVIEW

- 1. Determine project goals
- 2. Identify and prioritize stakeholders
- 3. Refine messaging and visual brand
- 4. Create toolkit and implementation strategy





COMMUNICATION GOALS

Build upon updated vision and mission

Improve upon new TVWD brand

Engage in a different way with customers, beyond billing

Educate audiences on TVWD's water system and work Lead to a better understanding of Willamette Water Supply System (WWSS) in advance of 2026



STAKEHOLDER IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION



Identified people, organizations, and audiences impacted by, responsible for, and supportive of this project



MESSAGE FRAMEWORK

 What do we want each priority stakeholder group to understand?

Mission

Reliable, resilient, and safe water

Vision

Our water sustains thriving communities – every day for everyone

Values

Respect | Integrity | Service | Equity



 How does this relate to TVWD's mission, vision, and values?

Message Framework

Keeping water safe - every day, for everyone

Service Line Inventory Project

Project Message for Audiences

| Audiences | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Inventory | Staff | Local | Regulators/ | | | | | | | |
| Participants | | Partners | Industry | | | | | | | |



Message Framework

Keeping water safe - every day, for everyone

Service Line Inventory Project

Project Message for Audiences





VISUAL BRAND VISION AND STYLE

\$c

Realistic Accessible Informative Clear Modern Dynamic Proactive Progressive Professional





Distinct visual style that centers on TVWD's expertise and commitment to service



OUTREACH TOOLKIT

Inventory participants and general customers

Information on the service line inventory project

TVWD staff

Materials for interacting meaningfully with curious customers





DEVELOPED GRAPHICS TO CONVEY COMPLEX TOPICS



Inventory random sampling



Service line education



CONNECTING INVENTORY EFFORT TO PRIMARY TVWD SERVICES





UNDERSTANDING INVENTORY PURPOSE AND PROCESS

described below

Fact sheets about the Service Line Inventory project

Customized for inventory participants as well as general customers

Available in English and Spanish





Factors contributing to the low probability of lead in our system

PIPE MATERIALS Lead service lines were not commonly used in Oregon

SYSTEM RECORDS Copper is the primary service line material in our system

Lead is generally not found in the source waters that supply your drinking water, nor is it introduced through the treatment processes TVWD uses to keep your water safe. However, when the drinking water distributed by TVWD comes into contact with plumbing materials that contain lead, a small amount of that lead may dissolve into the water. The most common source of lead in TVWD's system is lead solder, which was used prior to



| PROJECT STARTS | PROJECT | PROJECT | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| July 2023 | Summer 2023-Fall 2024 | October 2024 | | | |

How were properties selected

TVWD is using a statistically-sound method approved by the Oregon Health Authority to confirm that there are no lead service lines in our system. Beginning in July 2023, crews will be inspecting approximately 380 randomly selected service lines across the District.

selected for inventory, you will be notified





ican the QR code with your phone camera visit keepingwatersafe.org



INVENTORY EDUCATION EXTENDED BEYOND PRINT MATERIALS

Inventory Project

TUALATIN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT Service Line

503-848-3000

customerservic

Read project FAQ

0-

Project The Service Line Inventory project is part of TVWD's ongoing work to provide safe, reliable drinking water. This project is a proactive effort to confirm that there are no lead materials in use. This project meets new federal requirements to identify service line materials in water systems by October 2024. Identifying lead service lines and replacing them, if found, is important to protecting public health

About the

Installation records and prior inspections tell us that our potential to find lead service lines is very low





New "microsite" allowed new branding, imagery, footage, and graphics to be brought to life







COMMUNICATIONS PLAN



Reference for Service Line Inventory outreach strategy

Goals, target audiences, tools, and implementation strategies



Inventory Implementation



WHY CONTRACT SERVICES?

<u>Pros</u>

- 1. Allowed TVWD to maintain current CIP efforts
- 2. Service levels maintained (e.g., leak responses, customer service)
- 3. Ongoing Willamette Supply work and planning could be maintained
 - UDF, construction support, etc.

Cons

- 1. More effort up front (e.g., RFP)
- 2. Increased daily project management needs
- 3. Greater risk for disconnected customer communications
 - Contractor ≠ TVWD level of customer service

The District has not discovered any full or partial LSLs in its system to-date, nor was the material ever allowed based on historical design records. This is consistent with the water providers across the region and Oregon in general. Based on this information, it is the current belief that the District is at low risk for discovering any LSLs through the Project. Regardless, it is the responsibility of the District to complete this work in the interest of public health protection and to confirm this hypothesis.

District staff will utilize the results from the physical evaluation in a statistically significant model, whereby the remaining "unknown" materials are classified as "non-lead" with a 95% level of confidence. If an LSL is discovered, either partial or full, the District must perform additional investigation and classification to identify the underlying factors that indicate where potential LSLs may exist in other isolated parts of the District's system.

3. Scope of Work

The District requires an Oregon CCB-licensed contractor capable of performing minor excavation work using vactor-truck technology (i.e., potholing) to identify materials on both the public (District) and private (customer) side of approximately 400 randomly selected service lines with "unknown" status, immediately adjacent to the District-owned water meter. This work will occur in both the public and private right-of-way. Additionally, a portion of these excavations will require sidewalk panel removal and repair, or some degree of roadway or asphalt work to access either the District or customer side service line for material identification.

If a full or partial LSL was discovered, the District may require additional locations for inspection to use for further statistical modeling process. The number of additional excavations needed would be determined at that time along with a potential contract amendment to support this work.

To provide an estimated profile of the work locations, the District performed a desktop evaluation of a 409 randomly selected sites, which is more than the minimum excavations needed to account for replacement sites during the inventory process. Based on this evaluation, the District estimates the following:

- a. 389 (95%) occur in low-traffic locations and are likely to need only minor traffic control measures.
- b. 46 (11%) are likely to require minor to moderate sidewalk or asphalt repair, such as sidewalk panel replacement or patching of existing roadway asphalt after excavation.
- c. 234 (57%) have a visually identified above-grade utility box or similar apparatus within 5 feet of the District-owned meter.
- d. 60 (15%) occur within 5 feet of a tree or other large bush.
- e. 13 (3%) are likely to need some degree of minor to moderate landscape removal and restoration (e.g., trimming and potential replanting of vegetation or hardscaping repair post-excavation).
- f. 299 (73%) occur within the public right-of-way; 98 (24%) occur outside the right-of-way and will require site owner approval before excavation begins (i.e., right of entry), which is currently underway by District staff; and 12 (3%) are still being evaluated.

The required excavation is only enough to visually confirm approximately 2 feet of the service line material on either side of the meter. The details from the desktop evaluation are provided to help proposers understand the potential resources needed to complete the work. In general, these are still considered minor excavation sites. The minimum excavations needed by the District is 380 to perform the statistical analysis. The additional sites included in the desktop evaluation are intended to provide pre-evaluated replacement sites should an individual site present too many challenges once a proposer's team is on site. The District, at its discretion, will evaluate these situations with the selected proposer and provide an alternate site from the randomly selected list upon agreement that the excavation and overall site restoration are too difficult to pursue further work.

Request for Proposals Page 4 of 29

RFP PROCESS

Creating a biddable package was a unique challenge

- Front loaded with as much detail as possible
 - Used our matrix to define the scope
 - Time and materials vs. unit cost landed on unit cost
 - Requirement to have plumbing services (on staff or on call)
- Outreach to woman and minority owned contractors



LEVERAGING TECHNOLOGY

2020-2021 Pilot effort helped to establish data collection in the field

- Used mobile based field application called Survey123
- Aligned terminology to LCRR/OHA language
- Provided iPads to contractor
 - Security, minimize technology "hiccups," etc.

| | 1:57 PM 1 | 32 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| лоbile 🗢 1:57 РМ 🕇 32 | Service Line Inventory Form | • |
| Service Line Inventory Form 💸 | ublic Side Photo * | |
| e and Time * | ്റി | |
| Tuesday, April 23, 2024 (| | |
| 1:57 PM | vate Side Identification * | |
| vevor Name * | Records only | |
| y Daugherty | On site inspection only | |
| | Private Side Material | |
| ress * | Non-lead - Plastic | |
| 5 SW 170TH AVE (| | |
| er Register Number | rivate Side Notes | |
| 08084 (| rivate Side Photo * | |
| Location | | |
| - 45°30'N 122°51'W ± 4.8 m (| | |
| Sw Jenkin W | | |
| | | |
| | | |





INVENTORY DEPLOYMENT

Collaboration with contractor led to dividing project into 27 "zones"

- Workload leveling for TVWD and contractor
- Expedited review of data/photos
- Better ability to perform advanced
 outreach as work progressed
- Customer follow-up more effective (e.g., "what was my material?")



PROGRESS TRACKING

- Able to track progress in real time
- Initial project duration was two months
- Final project duration was four weeks



Time-lapse of project duration, January 29 – February 19, 2024



INVENTORY: COMMUNICATIONS TOOLKIT DEPLOYMENT

- Digital media already deployed
- Initial outreach to all 378 sites
- Specific messaging for ROE sites

- Provided print materials to contractor
- Began follow-up
 with ROE sites

Dec 2023

- Contractor began (delayed due to freeze event)
- "Zone" tracking used for advance outreach

Jan 2024

- Final email and phone calls to ROE sites
- Completed
 inventory (378 +
 12 extra sites)

Feb-Mar 2024



INVENTORY RESULTS



390 total inspections – No lead, public or private side

- Added sites to align with proposed LCRI statistical method bins
- Majority were plastic based (e.g., PVC, PEX)
- 49 classified as "Galvanized Requiring Replacement" under OHA required inventory template



INVENTORY PROCESS SUMMARY

Challenges

- ROE sites 35% non-respondent
 - Remaining sites replaced with randomly selected non-ROE sites (34 sites)
- Initial contracting slowed progress
- More training and coordination with contractor vs. internal staff
- Some initial site inspections weren't adequate (e.g., not enough material exposure, poor photos)

Successes

- ROE sites 65% were responsive
- Little to no customer complaints
- Feedback loop w/ contractor use of real time data collection (i.e., Survey123)
- Inspection timeline halved
- Under budget
- Data collection process minimized errors



Key Takeaways



WHAT LESSONS DID WE LEARN

- Well prepared communications meant minimal customer issues, higher approval rate for ROE
- Input from a large cross section of TVWD led to higher quality and buy-in for the outreach toolkit
- Early technology vetting led to a near seamless use of contract services
- Contractor efficiency led to being under budget
- Early regulatory planning is beneficial











THANK YOU! Q&A TIME

Joel Cary

joel.cary@tvwd.org

Emily Palmer

epalmer@brwncald.com